



Supplemental electronic content to

J Med Libr Assoc. Apr;104(2):DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3163/1536-5050.104.2.015

www.mlanet.org

© Lessick, Perryman, Billman, Alpi, De Groote, Babin Jr. 2016

Research engagement of health sciences librarians: a survey of research-related activities and attitudes

Susan Lessick, MA, MLS, AHIP, FMLA; Carol Perryman, PhD; Brooke L. Billman, MA, AHIP; Kristine M. Alpi, MLS, MPH, AHIP; Sandy L. De Groote, MLIS, AHIP; Ted D. Babin Jr.

Table 5Applications of published research results to practice, by subject

road subject categories	n	Percent	Topics	n	Percent
oplied*	276	94.2%			
Education (end users)	79	27.0%	Modifications to existing instruction or assessment tools	53	18.1%
			Additional topics	17	5.8%
			New audiences for instruction	0	_
			Online tutorials or guides posted online	2	0.7%
			Training collaborations	2	0.7%
			Information literacy for specific groups	5	1.7%
Public services	66	22.5%	Service enhancements based on assessment data	30	10.2%
(information/reference/outreach)			New services/service models: alerts/PDA/bioinformatics unspecified	12	4.1%
			Clinician medical librarian/informationist/rounding	7	2.4%
			Searching strategies/protocols	16	5.5%
			Patient/consumer services	1	0.3%
Collection management & development	32	10.9%	Collection assessment for journals, databases, topic areas	21	7.2%
			Acquiring resources for mobile/e-readers/point of care	8	2.7%
			Archives/repositories		_
			Consumer health services/resources in libraries		_
			Physical collection management (binding/storage)	3	1.0%
Administration & management	23	7.8%	Management/leadership, budget/billing/purchasing decisions	23	7.8%
Marketing & communication	21	7.2%	Marketing/awareness of library services/new methods/audiences	9	3.1%
			Collaborating or sharing with university administration	6	2.0%
			Value of hospital libraries		_





Supplemental electronic content to

J Med Libr Assoc. Apr;104(2):DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3163/1536-5050.104.2.015

www.mlanet.org

© Lessick, Perryman, Billman, Alpi, De Groote, Babin Jr. 2016

Broad subject categories	n	Percent	Topics	n	Percent
			Web 2.0/social media communication strategies	6	2.0%
			Ideas for collaborations/partnerships		
Technology	16	5.5%	Web page usability/redesign	13	4.4%
			Handheld/mobile/e-reader (iPads/laptops)	3	1.0%
			Webinars/podcast infrastructure		_
			System/network upgrades		_
Information behavior & use	11	3.8%	User studies of information needs and activities	11	3.8%
Information access & retrieval	10	3.4%	Improved e-resources access/reduce clicks needed/EHR links	5	1.7%
			Improved resource awareness/access for mobile device users	3	1.0%
			Document delivery/interlibrary loan services	1	0.3%
			Specific-population web development		_
			Federated search engine/meta search		_
			effectiveness		
			Put catalog online		_
			Hours	1	0.3%
Library space planning	9	3.1%	Library renovations and furniture purchasing	9	3.1%
Outreach	9	3.1%	Broadening programs outside library, underserved practitioners	9	3.1%
Professional concerns*	9	3.1%	•		
Continuing education (librarian)	6	2.0%	Awareness of point-of-care products	6	2.0%
Professional issues	3	1.0%	Professional issues/trends,	3	1.0%
			state/national/organizational policies		
Related fields*	8	2.7%	γ		
Health research	8	2.7%	Topical research in health/medical area	8	2.7%
Theoretical*	0	_	,		
General*	0	_			
Total usable responses	293	100.0%		293	100.0%
Unusable responses	86				
Total responses	379				

^{*} Broad subject categories (general, professional concerns, theoretical, applied, and related fields) were based on Dimitroff's classification scheme of published research in the *Bulletin of the Medical Library Association* [10].